

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,370	09/10/2003	Takashi Yamazaki	008312-0305862	3316
	7590 03/09/200 /INTHROP SHAW PI	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1050	0 .	HUSON, MONICA ANNE		
MCLEAN, VA 22102		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1732	
<u> </u>	·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	NTHS	03/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/658,370	YAMAZAKI ET AL			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Monica A. Huson	1732			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.  or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ad	ldress		
WHIO - Extended afte - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Densions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION (136(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON (6), cause the application to become AE	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this continuous c	,		
Status			•			
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ja	anuary 2007.				
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.				
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims			·		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are rejected.		·			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 10 September 2003 is/a	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Exan	niner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PT	O-152.		
<b>Priority</b>	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		•		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been	received in this National	Stage		
	application from the International Bureau	` '//				
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachmer	` '					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	•	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date	· .		
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		formal Patent Application			
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date	6)	~··			

Application/Control Number: 10/658,370

Art Unit: 1732

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This office action is in response to the RCE filed 24 January 2007.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kamiguchi et al. (U.S. Patent 6,527,534).

Regarding Claim 2, Kamiguchi et al., hereafter "Kamiguchi," show that it is known to carry out a method of detecting a malfunction in an electric injection molding machine, the method being applied to the ejection of a molded product by pushing an ejector pin out of a die (Abstract), the method comprising obtaining a pattern characterizing torque of an ejector pin driving motor relative to time (Figure 5); setting in advance a plurality of monitoring zones, at least one monitoring zones (Column 10, lines 62-67; Column 11, lines 1-2l; positions=zones) based on the pattern and the upper and lower limits of torque in each of the monitoring zones (Figure 5; Column 4, lines 45-50; Column 5, lines 51-57); and monitoring a torque value in each of the monitoring zones during ejection of the molded product and determining that a malfunction occurs when the torque value falls outside the upper and lower limits of the monitoring zones, and counting the number of malfunctions (Figure 5; Column 1, lines 62-65; Column 4, lines 45-50; Column 5, lines 4-10, 52-57; Column 8, lines 64-67; Column 9, lines 1-9); and raising an alarm when

Application/Control Number: 10/658,370

Art Unit: 1732

the number of malfunctions during a single ejection reaches a predetermined number (Column 1, lines 62-65; Column 9, lines 1-9; It is noted that the "predetermined number" of malfunctions is interpreted as being able to include one (1) malfunction.).

Regarding Claim 3, Kamiguchi shows that it is known to carry out a method of detecting a malfunction in an electric injection molding machine, the method being applied to the ejection of a molded product by pushing an ejector pin out of a die (Abstract), the method comprising obtaining a pattern characterizing torque of an ejector pin driving motor relative to time (Figure 5); setting in advance at least one monitoring zone based on the pattern and the upper and lower limits of torque in each of the monitoring zones (Figure 5; Column 4, lines 45-50; Column 5, lines 51-57); and monitoring a torque value in each of the monitoring zones during the ejection of the molded product and determining that a malfunction has occurred when the torque value falls outside the upper and lower limits of the monitoring zones, and counting the number of malfunctions (Figure 5; Column 1, lines 62-65; Column 4, lines 45-50; Column 5, lines 4-10, 52-57; Column 8, lines 64-67; Column 9, lines 1-9); and raising an alarm when the number of malfunctions occurring within a predetermined time reaches a predetermined number (Column 1, lines 62-65; Column 9, lines 1-9; It is noted that the "predetermined number" of malfunctions is interpreted as being able to include one (1) malfunction. It is further noted that the "predetermined time" is interpreted as being able to include the time for a single ejection step.).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monica A. Huson whose telephone number is 571-272-1198. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30am-4:00pm.

Art Unit: 1732

Application/Control Number: 10/658,370

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson can be reached on 571-272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Monica A Huson

Manca Mhron

March 5, 2007